How to Aggregate Temporal Data?

Johann Gamper

Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy

Acknowlegements

- Joint work with A. Dignös, M. Böhlen, J. Gordevicius, G. Mahlknecht
- Partially funded by the Autonomous Province of Bozen-Bolzano, Swiss National Science Foundation, and EU (Chorochronos)

Temporal Data is Ubiquitous

- Almost all information is qualified with time (period or point)
 - Web, RDF stores (triples should really be quintuples)
 - Data warehousing
 - Medical records, loans, ...
 - Sensor data (abstraction from time points into time intervals)
 - Transport information
 - . . .
- Temporal data provide additional and more precise information

From Big Data to Long Data

- Samuel Arbesman: Stop Hyping Big Data and Start Paying Attention to 'Long Data' (Wired 2013)
 - Sure, big data is a powerful lens for looking at our world ... crunching big numbers can help us learn a lot about ourselves
 - But no matter how big that data is or what insights we glean from it, it is still just a snapshot
 - We need to stop getting stuck only on big data and start thinking about long data – datasets that have a massive historical sweep



Increasing interest in temporal/historical data from big DB vendors

Data Summarization

- Data summarization techniques are needed to
 - reduce these data to an interpretable information for human users
 - reduce the amount of space required to store the data
- Various data summarization technologies/tools exist
 - OLAP (On Line Analytical Processing)
 - Data mining
 - Data visualization
 - (Temporal) aggregation

Outline

- **1** Different Forms of Temporal Aggregation
- 2 Computing Temporal Aggregates
- **3** Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation

4 Systems



Outline

1 Different Forms of Temporal Aggregation

- 2 Computing Temporal Aggregates
- **3** Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation
- 4 Systems
- **5** Conclusions and Future Work

• Non-temporal aggregation ([Klug'82] and SQL)

- Partition relation on the grouping attributes
- 2 Compute aggregate functions for each partition
- 3 Project to grouping attributes and aggregation results

• Example: Number of contracts per department?

emp						
Name	Dept	Т				
Jan	DB	[1,12]				
Ann	DB	[1,4]				
Joe	DB	[5,8]				
Tom	AI	[4,9]				

• Non-temporal aggregation ([Klug'82] and SQL)

- Partition relation on the grouping attributes
 - 2 Compute aggregate functions for each partition
- Project to grouping attributes and aggregation results

• Example: Number of contracts per department?

emp						
Name	Dept	Т				
Jan	DB	[1,12]				
Ann	DB	[1,4]				
Joe	DB	[5,8]				
Tom	AI	[4,9]				

- Non-temporal aggregation ([Klug'82] and SQL)
 - Partition relation on the grouping attributes
 - 2 Compute aggregate functions for each partition
 - 3 Project to grouping attributes and aggregation results

• Example: Number of contracts per department?

emp							
Name	Dept	Т	Cnt				
Jan	DB	[1,12]	3				
Ann	DB	[1,4]	3				
Joe	DB	[5,8]	3				
Tom	AI	[4,9]	1				

- Non-temporal aggregation ([Klug'82] and SQL)
 - Partition relation on the grouping attributes
 - 2 Compute aggregate functions for each partition
 - Project to grouping attributes and aggregation results
- Example: Number of contracts per department?

1	emp						
	Name	Dept	Т	Cnt		Dont	Cnt
	Jan	DB	[1.12]	3	π [Dept,Cnt]emp	Dept	Citt
	Ann	DB	[1]	3	\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow	DB	3
			[1, 7]	2		AI	1
	Joe	DB	[5,8]	3			
	Tom	AI	[4.9]	1			

Types of Temporal Grouping and Aggregation

• Partition relation along time dimension (+ non-temporal attributes)

- Two types of timeline grouping/partitioning
 - Span grouping: partitioned into fixed-length intervals (months, years)
 - Instant grouping: partitioned into instants/chronons
- Different forms of temporal aggregation
 - Instant temporal aggregation
 - Moving-window temporal aggregation
 - Span temporal aggregation

Instant temporal aggregation (ITA)

- Group tuples for each time point t
 - Aggregate at each t over all tuples valid at t
- Coalesce consecutive tuples with identical aggregate values
 - Lineage information might be considered
- **Example:** *Q_{ita} Number of employees per department?*



Instant temporal aggregation (ITA)

- Group tuples for each time point t
 - Aggregate at each t over all tuples valid at t
- Coalesce consecutive tuples with identical aggregate values
 - Lineage information might be considered

• **Example:** *Q_{ita}* – *Number of employees per department?*



Instant temporal aggregation (ITA)

- Group tuples for each time point t
 - Aggregate at each t over all tuples valid at t
- Coalesce consecutive tuples with identical aggregate values
 - Lineage information might be considered
- Example: *Q_{ita} Number of employees per department?*



J. Gamper

Moving-window temporal aggregation (MWTA)

- Group tuples valid in window [t w] (for each t)
- Coalesce adjacent tuples as in ITA

• **Example:** *Q_{mwta}* – *Contracts per department in the past 2 months?*



Moving-window temporal aggregation (MWTA)

- Group tuples valid in window [t w] (for each t)
- Coalesce adjacent tuples as in ITA
- Example: Q_{mwta} Contracts per department in the past 2 months?



Span temporal aggregation (STA)

• Group tuples valid at time intervals specified in the query

• **Example:** *Q*_{sta} – Contracts per department for each semester?



Span temporal aggregation (STA)

Group tuples valid at time intervals specified in the query

• Example: *Q*_{sta} – Contracts per department for each semester?



ITA vs. MWTA vs. STA

ITA and MWTA

- + Data sensitive, most detailed result
- Result typically larger than input relation
- Difficult to compute
- STA
 - + Allows to control the result size
 - + Computationally less demanding
 - Changes over time not well reflected

Outline



2 Computing Temporal Aggregates

3 Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation

4 Systems

5 Conclusions and Future Work

Tuma's Work on Temporal Aggregation

- Tuma (1992) proposed a two-step evaluation process for ITA
 - Compute the constant intervals
 - Ocompute the aggregate functions
- Requires two scans of the argument relation!

Aggregation Tree Algorithm [Kline and Snodgrass, ICDE-95]

- Aggregation tree algorithm for ITA
 - Construct a a tree with time intervals and partial aggregation results
 - Perform a depth-first traversal on the tree to accumulate the final aggregate values and time intervals
- Requires only one scan of the input relation.
- Avg. complexity: $n \log n$
- Worst case complexity: $O(n^2)$

Balanced Tree Algorithm [Moon et al., TKDE-03]

- An algorithm based on timestamp sorting for ITA
 - Create a (balanced) tree with the start/end time points of the input tuples plus the number of tuples starting/ending at each time point
 - **2** Traverse the tree in depth-first order and accumulate the values
- Requires only one scan of the input relation
- Complexity: $O(n \log n)$
- Works only for SUM and COUNT, not applicable for MIN and MAX

Temporal Multidimensional Aggregation (TMDA) [Böhlen et al., EDBT-06]

- A general temporal aggregation operator
- Allows the user to specify
 - partial result tuples for which to report aggregation results $(
 ightarrow {f g})$
 - aggregation groups over which to compute the aggregates (
 ightarrow heta)

(both are traditionally determined by the grouping attributes)



TMDA Definition

Let

- **r** be an input relation with schema (A_1, \ldots, A_n)
- g be a partial result relation with schema (B_1, \ldots, B_m)
- $\theta: \mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{g}$ be a mapping function
- $\mathbf{F} = \{f_1/C_1, \dots, f_k/C_k\}$ be a set of aggregation functions

The multidimensional temporal aggregation operator is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathsf{T}}[\mathbf{g}, \theta, \mathbf{F}]\mathbf{r} = \{ g \circ f \mid g \in \mathbf{g} \land \\ \mathbf{r}_{g} = \{ r \in \mathbf{r} \mid \theta(r) = g \} \land \\ f = (f_{1}(\mathbf{r}_{g})/C_{1}, \dots, f_{k}(\mathbf{r}_{g})/C_{m}) \}$$

The schema of the result relation is $(B_1, \ldots, B_m, C_1, \ldots, C_k, T)$

J. Gamper

TMDA Example

• Example: *Q_{sta}* "Contracts per department for each semester?"

 $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{T}}[\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{F}] \mathbf{emp}$

where

$$\mathbf{g} = \pi [Dept, cast(T, semester)] \mathbf{emp} \implies DB \begin{bmatrix} 1,6\\ DB \begin{bmatrix} 7,12\\ AI \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{g}.T \cap \mathbf{emp}.T \neq \emptyset \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \{count(*)/Cnt\}$$

Dept

Т

Cnt

TMDA Example

• Example: *Q_{sta}* "Contracts per department for each semester?"

 $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{T}}[\mathbf{g}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{F}] \mathbf{emp}$

where

$$\mathbf{g} = \pi[Dept, cast(T, semester)] \mathbf{emp} \implies \begin{bmatrix} DB & [1,6] \\ DB & [7,12] \\ AI & [1,6] \\ AI & [7,12] \\ AI & [1,6] \\ AI & [7,12] \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{F} = \{count(*)/Cnt\}$$

Dept

Т

Cnt 3 2

ullet ITA, MTWA, and STA can be expressed with appropriate θ and ${\bf g}$

• Partial result relation g must not depend on r

- e.g., For each department in the department relation, ...?
- Use of \neq , \leq , \geq , ... operators in θ (in addition to equality)
 - e.g., For each department, the contracts in the other deptartments? $\theta = g.Dept \neq r.Dept \land g.T \cap emp.T \neq \emptyset$
- Allows efficient computation

- \bullet ITA, MTWA, and STA can be expressed with appropriate θ and ${\bf g}$
- Partial result relation g must not depend on r
 - e.g., For each department in the department relation, ...?
- Use of \neq , \leq , \geq , ... operators in θ (in addition to equality)
 - e.g., For each department, the contracts in the other deptartments?
 θ = g.Dept ≠ r.Dept ∧ g.T ∩ emp.T ≠ Ø
- Allows efficient computation

- ullet ITA, MTWA, and STA can be expressed with appropriate θ and ${\bf g}$
- \bullet Partial result relation ${\bf g}$ must not depend on ${\bf r}$
 - e.g., For each department in the department relation, ...?
- Use of \neq , \leq , \geq , ... operators in θ (in addition to equality)
 - e.g., For each department, the contracts in the other deptartments?
 θ = g.Dept ≠ r.Dept ∧ g.T ∩ emp.T ≠ ∅

• Allows efficient computation

- ullet ITA, MTWA, and STA can be expressed with appropriate θ and ${\bf g}$
- \bullet Partial result relation ${\bf g}$ must not depend on ${\bf r}$
 - e.g., For each department in the department relation, ...?
- Use of \neq , \leq , \geq , ... operators in θ (in addition to equality)
 - e.g., For each department, the contracts in the other deptartments?
 θ = g.Dept ≠ r.Dept ∧ g.T ∩ emp.T ≠ ∅
- Allows efficient computation

- Use a so-called endpoint tree to store "open" tuples
- Scan tuples in chronological order
- At each time point:
 - compute past result tuples
 - update the endpoint tree: add new tuples, remove past tuples



- Use a so-called endpoint tree to store "open" tuples
- Scan tuples in chronological order
- At each time point:
 - compute past result tuples
 - update the endpoint tree: add new tuples, remove past tuples



- Use a so-called endpoint tree to store "open" tuples
- Scan tuples in chronological order
- At each time point:
 - compute past result tuples
 - update the endpoint tree: add new tuples, remove past tuples



- Use a so-called endpoint tree to store "open" tuples
- Scan tuples in chronological order
- At each time point:
 - compute past result tuples
 - update the endpoint tree: add new tuples, remove past tuples



- Use a so-called endpoint tree to store "open" tuples
- Scan tuples in chronological order
- At each time point:
 - compute past result tuples
 - update the endpoint tree: add new tuples, remove past tuples



- Use a so-called endpoint tree to store "open" tuples
- Scan tuples in chronological order
- At each time point:
 - compute past result tuples
 - update the endpoint tree: add new tuples, remove past tuples



Experimental Evaluation

- TMDA (GTA_CI^c) has the same performance as the Balanced Tree (BT) algorithm [Moon et al., TKDE 2003]
- Memory consumption of GTA_CI is on avg. much less than for BT



TMDA Summary

- TMDA is an expressive temporal aggregation operator
 - Allows the specification of partial result tuples and aggregation groups
 - Covers ITA, MWTA, STA
- Efficient evaluation algorithms
 - $O(n \log m)$ (*m* is number of open tuples)
 - Compares well with state-of-the-art temporal aggregation algorithms
 - Scalable to large data sets

Outline

1 Different Forms of Temporal Aggregation

2 Computing Temporal Aggregates

3 Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation

4 Systems

5 Conclusions and Future Work

Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation (PTA)/1 [Gordevicius et al., VLDB Journal 2012]

- Overcomes limitations and combines best features of ITA and STA
 - ITA is data-driven/data-sensitive, but the result might be twice as large as input relation
 - STA is not data-driven, but allows to control the result size
- PTA: compress ITA result until a given size/error bound is reached
- Reveals the most significant changes of your data

Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation/2

• Example: PTA result of size 4 tuples



J. Gamper

Alexandria Workshop - September 8-9, 2016

Computing PTA

- PTA is an optimization problem
- DP algorithm to compute an optimal solution
 - Explore all possibilities to compress to k tuples
 - ITA must be computed beforehand
 - $O(n^2)$ time and space complexity
 - Optimization: replace DP matrix by a tree structure to reduce space complexity [Mahlknecht et al., Information Systems 2016]
- Greedy algorithm to compute an approximate solution
 - Greedily merge tuples as they are produced by ITA
 - Keep a buffer with $(c + \delta)$ tuples
 - Merge the most similar pair of tuples if buffer overflows
 - $O(n \log(c + \delta))$ time and $O(c + \delta)$ space complexity

Computing PTA

- PTA is an optimization problem
- DP algorithm to compute an optimal solution
 - Explore all possibilities to compress to k tuples
 - ITA must be computed beforehand
 - $O(n^2)$ time and space complexity
 - Optimization: replace DP matrix by a tree structure to reduce space complexity [Mahlknecht et al., Information Systems 2016]
- Greedy algorithm to compute an approximate solution
 - Greedily merge tuples as they are produced by ITA
 - Keep a buffer with $(c + \delta)$ tuples
 - Merge the most similar pair of tuples if buffer overflows
 - $O(n \log(c + \delta))$ time and $O(c + \delta)$ space complexity

Experimental Evaluation

- Considerable reduction of result size introduce only a small error
- Greedy algorithm is very close to the optimal solution and more efficient than competitors



Salary data of UofA

Alexandria Workshop - September 8-9, 2016

Outline

1 Different Forms of Temporal Aggregation

2 Computing Temporal Aggregates

3 Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation

4 Systems



Data Exploration

• Includes our PTA algorithms to explore large data sets



Temporal PostgreSQL

- We have implemented a temporal PostgreSQL (http://tpg.inf.unibz.it/)
- Full-fledged support for all temporal operators, including temporal aggregation







Outline

- **1** Different Forms of Temporal Aggregation
- 2 Computing Temporal Aggregates
- **3** Parsimonious Temporal Aggregation

4 Systems

5 Conclusions and Future Work

Conclusions

- Different forms of temporal aggregation: ITA, MWTA, STA
- TMDA is an expressive temporal aggregation operator
- PTA combines the best features of ITA and STA
- Stand-alone algorithmic solutions

Some Future Directions

- Address other aspects of temporal aggregation
 - Two or more time dimensions, e.g., transaction and valid time
 - Streaming/time series data
 - Other application contexts, e.g., IR
- Integrate TMDA and PTA into our temporal PostgreSQL

Thank you!